



May 21, 2015

City of Annapolis
Department of Neighborhood & Environment Programs
145 Gorman Street, 3rd Floor
Annapolis, MD 21401

Attn: Frank Biba, Chief of Env. Programs

Re: Forest Conservation Plan (FCP) Resubmittal
Annapolis Townes at Neal Farm
FCP 2014-002

Dear Mr. Biba,

The following is a point – by- point response to your FCP approval letter dated May 5, 2015 and conditions dated April 15, 2015. We have organized the comments (copies attached) and our respective responses are as follows:

Sheet 1

Response 1: Existing Site Conditions – The forest clearing verification has been revised as requested.

Project History – The forest clearing verification has been revised as requested.

B. – The forest clearing verification has been revised as requested.

Sheet 7

Response 2: All trees listed under TPAK on Sheet 4, 5 and 6
TPAK have been revised accordingly. The TPK has been revised as requested.

Sheet 8

Response 3:

- 1:1 – and City Environmentalist added.
- 1.4 - and City Environmentalist added.
- 1.5 – add pre-construction meeting “with the City Environmentalist” added.
- 1.6 – City Environmentalist’s approval added.
- 3.2 – acknowledged.
- 3.4 – acknowledged.
- 3.5 – City Environmentalist’s approval added.
- 4.1 – City’s Environmentalist’s approval added.
- 4.6 – Note has been removed.
- 6.1 “Quarterly” has been added to reports.
- 8.4 – City’s Environmentalist has been added.
- 10.3 – project arborist and City’s Environmentalist has been added.
- 12 – Fire hydrant connection deleted
- 14.2 – by the civil engineer and the City’s Environmentalist has been added.
- 14.4 – with the contract arborist and the City’s Environmentalist has been added.

Typical Chain Link Fence Tree Protection Fence:

2: – welded wire word deleted

3: – with approval from the City's Environmentalist has been added.

Detail C-9

Acknowledged.

Forest Conservation Act Variance Request

Variance request has been modified accordingly and included with this resubmittal package.

Stormwater Management

Computations and Plans

1. No Drainage area maps with points of interest provided in the computations.

Copies of the drainage area maps have been included with the report.

2. On page 5, in the CpV section of the Stormwater Management Summary Table the Outfall #2 which is primarily being treated by the storage trench are shown. The drainage areas associated with these outfalls are 3.98 and 1.55 acres respectively. The storage trench is upstream of the step pools and appears to be acting as a pre-treatment area for the step pools based on the stormdrain system shown on the plans attached to the project. How are the drainage areas for the step pools that is not contributing to the storage trench is the slope on which the step pools are located.

The drainage area maps have been revised. Drainage area #B1 depicts that which goes to the revised location of the storage trench and ultimately to Outfall #2/SPSC system. Drainage Area #B2 depicts that which bypasses the trench but goes to Outfall #2/SPSC system.

3. On page 13 of the computations, the runoff coefficient Rv is shown to be 0.37. This was obtained using I=35% and not 31.6% as indicated on the sheet. Using 31.6% for I would yield 0.33 for Rv.

The computations have been revised to reflect the revised imperviousness of 32.2%.

4. The previous comment would change the target ESDv. Using Pe = 1.6, Rv = 0.33 and Area = 333,265 SF, ESDv = 14,663.66 CF. Please note, using Pe = 1.6, Rv = 0.37 and Area = 333,265 SF, ESDv = 16,441.07 CF, not 16,218.90 CF as shown in the report.

The computations have been revised to reflect the revised imperviousness of 32.2% and subsequent revised Rv value of 0.34.

5. Qe and WQv would also change using Rv =0.33,

The Qe and WQv values have been updated based on the revised Rv value of 0.34.

6. On page 14, the Environmental Site Design Summary sheet is shown. This page shows the entire site as one drainage area and the entire report indicates only one drainage area. Additionally, drainage areas area not indicated until page 102 of this report. The report never examines each

drainage area as shown in MDE's Environmental Site Design Process and Computations publication.

The required ESD volume is based on the entire site which ultimately drains to the floodplain. The developed area proposed under this project (and L.O.D.) is within Drainage Area 'B' so the ESD devices and Channel Protection Structural Device are provided within this drainage area. As shown in the pre-development and post-development drainage area plans, the post-development flows do not increase over existing conditions except at Outfall #2. The computations related to the drainage areas are included in Appendix D and Appendix E.

7. On page 14, the computation for Pe provided should equal 1.30 and not 1.32 as shown.

The computations have been updated based on the revised design.

8. In the rain garden computation sheets with a surface area of 19 SF, the media storage should equal 12.69 and not 12.67 as shown.

The computations have been updated based on the revised design.

9. On page 98, the design of filterra #1 shows the ESDv = 1,340 CF. Using Pe=1.00 in, Rv = 0.76 and A = 21,076 SF, the ESDv = 1,335 CF.

Please note that the Filterra computations have been revised per the Filterra design criteria.

10. On page 99, the design of filterra #3 shows the ESDv = 585 CF. Using Pe=1.00 in, Rv = 0.63 and A = 11,184 SF, the ESDv = 587 CF.

Please note that the Filterra computations have been revised per the Filterra design criteria.

11. On page 99, the design of filterra #4 shows the ESDv = 1,116 CF. Using Pe=1.00 in, Rv = 0.75 and A = 17,820 SF, the ESDv = 1,114 CF.

Please note that the Filterra computations have been revised per the Filterra design criteria.

12. On page 99, the design of filterra #4 shows the box to be 6x12. In the table below, for areas 0.34 to 0.42 acres the box should only be 6x10.

Please note that the Filterra computations have been revised per the Filterra design criteria.

13. On page 100, the design of filterra #5 shows the box to be 6x12. In the table below, for areas 0.33 to 0.26 acres the box should only be 6x8.

Please note that the Filterra computations have been revised per the Filterra design criteria.

14. On page 100, the design of filterra #6 shows the box to be 6x12. In the table below, for areas 0.34 to 0.42 acres the box should only be 6x10.

Please note that the Filterra computations have been revised per the Filterra design criteria.

15. On page 101, the design of filterra #7 shows the box to be 6x12. In the table below, for areas up to 0.17 acres the box should only be 4x6.

Please note that the Filterra computations have been revised per the Filterra design criteria.

16. On page 101, the design of filterra #8 shows the box to be 6x12. In the table below, for areas up to 0.17 acres the box should only be 4x6.

Please note that the Filterra computations have been revised per the Filterra design criteria.

17. On page 102 and 103, it was not very clear where the majority of the values presented are coming from. For example, in the Drainage area section on page 102, there is a value for CN = 88. It is not clear where this value comes from at this point of the document. A CN of 88 is almost equivalent to a gravel roadway in C soils. This value was also noted to be in the TR-55 for developed conditions. How was this value obtained?

The computations have been revised. The breakdown of RCN numbers within the drainage areas area included in the revised computations. The computations for the reduced RCN are also included for Drainage Area B as a result of the storage provided within the ESD devices.

18. Page 104 is very difficult to read with the text being very small and blurry. In the previous submission (July, 2014), this page was an 11X17 sheet.

The sheet has been reprinted to be more readable.

19. On plan sheet 5 of 8, diversion fence is being shown around the area of the step pools. What is the purpose of this diversion fence? Typically diversion fence is used to divert runoff from entering a site or leaving a site without going to another erosion and sediment control structure. This application does not clearly show which way the runoff is approaching the diversion fence. Is the runoff coming from the adjacent slopes into the step pool area or is the diversion fence to prevent runoff from within the step pool area to leave? If it is the later how is the runoff supposed to leave this area? Will the contractor have to pump the runoff captured in this area through a filter bag further down gradient?

The purpose of the diversion fence is to keep the area outside of the LOD from entering the step pool conveyance system while under construction.

20. The silt fence is shown to be running perpendicular to the contours. This may cause the concentration of runoff.

The silt fence running perpendicular to the contours along the storm drain at the outfall has been changed to tree protection fencing.

21. The existing drainage area maps and associated time of concentration (TOC) lines are not correctly shown based on the contours presented on the sheets. For example, DA C shows the TOC line starting on the high point on the lot to be developed, heading south toward the county. Once on Dorsey Drive, the TOC goes up hill approximately 4 feet to the end of the road, than down slope to the rear of the conservation area. DA C and DA E look like they should be combined based on the contours shown. DA D might actually include some of DA C as well.

The TOC lines have been revised. Also the delineation of the Drainage Areas have been revised.

22. The proposed DA map has errors. DA area shows two sets of inlets that are capturing runoff from the road but will be discharging into the storage trench and the step pool. DA C is shown to be a very large area that constricts to an 18 foot wide section (at the end of the existing roadway) and then flaring to 150 feet wide down a slope.

Drainage Area A and C have been revised.

I trust that the enclosed FCP and supporting documentation will be forwarded to the appropriate agencies for a reissuance of the FCP approval without conditions. If you should have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me at 410-897-9290.

Sincerely,
Bay Engineering, Inc.



Terry Schuman, P.E.

cc: Bruce Harvey – Williamsburg Group
Don Taylor – DW Taylor
Eliot Powell – Whitehall Development
Mike Klebasko – WSSI

F:\10-3572 Hayes Property Annapolis\Correspondence\RPD Submittal May 2015\FCP Response Ltr Frank Biba 5-21-15.docx



City of Annapolis

DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD & ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS
145 GORMAN STREET, THIRD FLOOR, ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401
ANNAPOLIS (410) 260-2200 • FAX (410) 263-9158 • TDD - Use MD relay or 711 • www.annapolis.gov

Chartered 1708

May 5, 2015

Terry Schuman, P. E.
Bay Engineering, Inc.
2661 Riva Road, Building 800
Annapolis, MD 21401

RE: Annapolis Townes at Neal Farm
FCP2014-002

The Forest Conservation Plan for Annapolis Townes at Neal Farm is found to be complete and correct and is approved subject to the attached conditions. These conditions have been prepared by Frank Biba, Chief of Environmental Programs, on my behalf and are found in the attached letter and in red on the approved plans. Please note that the approval is comprised of the attached comments and the comments shown in red on the attached plans.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Maria T. Broadbent".

Maria Broadbent, Director
Dept. of Neighborhood and Environmental Programs
410 263-7946
mbroadbent@annapolis.gov

cc: Tom Andrews, City Manager
Mike Leahy, City Attorney
Pete Gutwald, Director P&Z



City of Annapolis

DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD & ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS
145 GORMAN STREET, THIRD FLOOR, ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401
ANNAPOLIS (410) 260-2200 • FAX (410) 263-9158 • TDD - Use MD relay or 711 • www.annapolis.gov

Chartered 1708

May 5, 2015

Terry Schuman, P. E.
Bay Engineering, Inc.
2661 Riva Road, Building 800
Annapolis, MD 21401

RE: Annapolis Townes at Neal Farm
FCP2014-002

Mr. Schuman,

With reference to our letter of April 15, 2015 (attached) regarding the Forest Conservation Plan (FCP) review of Annapolis Townes at Neal Farm, most of the issues identified for revisions have been included on a red-lined set of plans (attached). These plans as revised are considered complete and correct and the Forest Conservation Plan is approved. Excluded from the revised plans are all stormwater management comments, including references to the step pool conveyance (Sheet 1, item B). All stormwater management comments must have approved revisions prior to submittal of site plans for Planning Commission review. As noted, any change in the site's footprint as a consequence of alterations to stormwater management must be reflected in an amended FCP. Also note that your request for a variance to remove trees with a diameter of 30 inches or greater must be revised as requested (letter of 04/15/2015, bottom of p. 2) prior to submission to the Planning Commission.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Frank Biba".

Frank Biba, AICP, LEED AP
Chief, Environmental Programs
Dept. of Neighborhood and Environmental Programs
410 263-7946
fjb@annapolis.gov

cc: Tom Andrews, City Manager
Mike Leahy, City Attorney
Maria Broadbent, Director DNEP
Pete Gutwald, Director P&Z

FILE COPY



Chartered 1708

City of Annapolis

DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD & ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS
145 GORMAN STREET, THIRD FLOOR, ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401
ANNAPOLIS (410) 260-2200 • FAX (410) 263-9158 • TDD - Use MD relay or 711 • www.annapolis.gov

April 15, 2015

Terry Schuman, P. E.
Bay Engineering, Inc.
2661 Riva Road, Building 800
Annapolis, MD 21401

RE: Review of the April, 2015 Forest Conservation Plan (FCP), Annapolis Townes at Neal Farm

Dear Mr. Schuman,

The Department of Neighborhood and Environmental Programs has the following comments on the Forest Conservation Plan for Annapolis Townes at Neal Farm:

1. Sheet 1:

Make the following changes to the Forest Clearing Justification:

Existing Site Conditions: All stands are priority forest. Remove any language to the contrary. Include that replanting will be done to preserve the vegetated steep slopes and to help with controlling invasive species.

Project History: The total area of forest to be cleared is 0.63 acre (from the Forest Conservation Worksheet). Use that number throughout the Forest Clearing Justification not 0.46 acre.

Include that 21 trees (2" in diameter, native, at least seven large canopy trees) will be planted for the removal of trees 24" and greater. Include that supplemental tree planning along the forest edge to aid in invasive species control of adjacent forest as well as supplemental tree planting in open spots in the existing forest will be done.

B. Why these areas cannot be disturbed.

Add that the step pool conveyance system uses the existing topography as much as possible. Include documentation that the FEMA floodplain area will not degrade as a result of the additional water volume from the step pool conveyance system.

2. Sheet 7:

Show all trees listed under the TPAK on sheet 4, 5, and 6.

All tree protection fencing will either be chain link fencing or super silt fence (in root prune trench). No welded wire fencing can be used for that purpose. Please change TPAK accordingly.

Make the following changes to TPAK (based on field notes):

- 106: use filter log for sediment and erosion control
- 108: use filter log and super silt fence for sediment and erosion control; silt fence will not be keyed in
- 118: use filter log for sediment and erosion control
- 142/143/144: recommend removal
- 126/184/185/200/201/202/275: selective removal

3. Sheet 8:

Make the following changes to the Tree Preservation Specifications:

- 1.1: add "and City Environmentalist"
- 1.4: add "and City Environmentalist"
- 1.5: add pre-construction meeting "with the City's Environmentalist"
- 1.6: add "City Environmentalist's" approval
- 3.2: all tree protection fencing shall either be chain link fencing (3' high, round metal posts at least every 10') or super silt fence (in root prune trench)
- 3.4: if no root pruning will be done in the critical root zones of trees impacted by the proposed work then filter log (12" diameter minimum) and silt fence or tree protection fencing will need to be installed
- 3.5: add "City Environmentalist's" approval
- 4.1: add by the "City's Environmentalist"
- 4.6: what is root pinning? Paving removed: what does that refer to?
- 6.1: add "quarterly" reports; quarterly reports to the owner, civil engineer, and "City's Environmentalist"
- 8.4: and "City's Environmentalist"
- 10.3: project arborist and "City's Environmentalist"
- 12: by the civil engineer and "City's Environmentalist"; delete the fire hydrant connection, in the City of Annapolis that is not an option; with the civil engineer "and the City's Environmentalist"
- 14.2: by the civil engineer "and the City's Environmentalist"
- 14.4: with the contract arborist "and the City's Environmentalist"

Make the following changes to the Typical Chain Link Tree Protection Fence:

- 2: delete welded wire
- 3: with approval "from the City's Environmentalist"

Make the following changes to Detail C-9:

The understanding was that silt fence with filter log would be installed in the area near tree 108 and no keying in would be necessary. Detail C-9 shows keying in of the silt fence.

Make the following changes to the Forest Conservation Act Variance Request:

ST-22: The tree is not located in the access road to the site. Change the variance accordingly. Answer questions 4 a, b, and c on page 3-6 of the State Forest Conservation Technical Manual, Third Edition, 1997.

Stormwater Management:

Computations and Plans

1. No Drainage area maps with points of interests provided in computations.
2. On page 5, in the CpV section of the Stormwater Management Summary Table the Outfall #2 which is primarily being treated by the step pool conveyance system and Outfall #4 which is primarily being treated by the storage trench are shown. The drainage areas associated with these outfalls are 3.98 and 1.55 acres respectively. The storage trench is upstream of the step pools and appears to be acting as a pre-treatment area for the step pools based on the stormdrain system shown on the plans attached to the project. How are the drainage areas for the step pool and the storage trench 2.43 acres different? The only area contributing to the step pools that is not contributing to the storage trench is the slope on which the step pools are located.
3. On page 13 of the computations, the runoff coefficient R_v is shown to be 0.37. This was obtained using $I=35\%$ and not 31.6% as indicated on the sheet. Using 31.6% for I would yield 0.33 for R_v .
4. The previous comment would change the target ESD_v . Using $P_e = 1.6$, $R_v = 0.33$ and $Area = 333,265 \text{ SF}$, $ESD_v = 14,663.66 \text{ CF}$. Please note, using $P_e = 1.6$, $R_v = 0.37$ and $Area = 333,265 \text{ SF}$, $ESD_v = 16,441.07 \text{ CF}$, not 16,218.90 CF as shown in the report.
5. Q_e and WQ_v would also change using $R_v = 0.33$.
6. On page 14, the Environmental Site Design Summary sheet is shown. This page shows the entire site as one drainage area and the entire report indicates only one drainage area. Additionally, drainage areas area not indicated until page 102 of this report. This will impact the design of the structural practices.
7. On page 14, the computation for P_e provided should equal 1.30 and not 1.32 as shown.
8. In the rain garden computation sheets with a surface area of 19 SF, the media storage should equal 12.69 and not 12.67 as shown.
9. On page 98, the design of filterra #1 shows the $ESD_v = 1,340 \text{ CF}$. Using $P_e=1.00$ in, $R_v = 0.76$ and $A = 21,076 \text{ SF}$, the $ESD_v = 1,335 \text{ CF}$.
10. On page 99, the design of filterra #3 shows the $ESD_v = 585 \text{ CF}$. Using $P_e=1.00$ in, $R_v = 0.63$ and $A = 11,184 \text{ SF}$, the $ESD_v = 587 \text{ CF}$.
11. On page 99, the design of filterra #4 shows the $ESD_v = 1,116 \text{ CF}$. Using $P_e=1.00$ in, $R_v = 0.75$ and $A = 17,820 \text{ SF}$, the $ESD_v = 1,114 \text{ CF}$.
12. On page 99, the design of filterra #4 shows the box to be 6x12. In the table below, for areas 0.34 to 0.42 acres the box should only be 6x10.
13. On page 100, the design of filterra #5 shows the box to be 6x12. In the table below, for areas 0.33 to 0.26 acres the box should only be 6x8.
14. On page 100, the design of filterra #6 shows the box to be 6x12. In the table below, for areas 0.34 to 0.42 acres the box should only be 6x10.
15. On page 101, the design of filterra #7 shows the box to be 6x12. In the table below, for areas up to 0.17 acres the box should only be 4x6.
16. On page 101, the design of filterra #8 shows the box to be 6x12. In the table below, for areas up to 0.17 acres the box should only be 4x6.

17. On page 102 and 103, it was not very clear where the majority of the values presented are coming from. For example, in the Drainage area section on page 102, there is a value for CN = 88. It is not clear where this value comes from at this point of the document. A CN of 88 is almost equivalent to a gravel roadway in C soils. This value was also noted to be in the TR-55 for developed conditions. How was this value obtained?
18. Page 104 is very difficult to read with the text being very small and blurry. In the previous submission (July, 2014), this page was an 11X17 sheet.
19. The TR-55 for the developed conditions shows 5 Drainage Areas on page 110. All drainage areas have an increase in the CN from the existing drainage areas. The Target CN for woods in good conditions for C soils is 70. This shows that ESD to the MEP to obtain woods in good condition is not being met in any of the proposed drainage areas. How were these values for the CN developed? Was there any reduction to the CN based on the ESD practices installed? Page 113 does not seem to indicate that ESD practices were not considered.
20. Without drainage area maps the time of concentration computations cannot be checked and verified.
21. On plan sheet 5 of 8, diversion fence is being shown around the area of the step pools. What is the purpose of this diversion fence? Typically diversion fence is used to divert runoff from entering a site or leaving a site without going to another erosion and sediment control structure. This application does not clearly show which way the runoff is approaching the diversion fence. Is the runoff coming from the adjacent slopes into the step pool area or is the diversion fence to prevent runoff from within the step pool area to leave? If it is the later how is the runoff supposed to leave this area? Will the contractor have to pump the runoff captured in this area through a filter bag further down gradient?
22. The silt fence is shown to be running perpendicular to the contours. This may cause the concentration of runoff.

If you have any questions please contact me.

Sincerely,

Frank Biba, AICP, LEED AP
Chief, Environmental Programs
Dept. of Neighborhood and Environmental Programs
410 263-7946
fjb@annapolis.gov

cc: Tom Andrews, City Manager
Mike Leahy, City Attorney
Maria Broadbent, DNEP Director
Pete Gutwald, P&Z Director

PRELIMINARY FOREST CONSERVATION PLANS (FCP 2014-002)

OF THE

ANNAPOLIS TOWNES AT NEAL FARM

TAX MAP 51A, BLOCK 24, PARCELS 6, 8, AND 45
 TAX MAP 51D, BLOCK 10, PARCEL 60, LOT 10
 TAX MAP 51D, BLOCK 6, PARCELS 70, 391, AND 392
 DORSEY DRIVE AND TYDING DRIVE
 ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401
 SECOND DISTRICT ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY
 ZONED R4/R1B/B2 CITY

FOREST CLEARING JUSTIFICATION

PROPERTY OWNER:
 G.S. & A.C. LLC
 10000 Dorsey Drive
 Annapolis, MD 21401
 Telephone: 410-962-1000
 Email: gsacllc@msn.com
PROPERTY ADDRESS:
 10000 Dorsey Drive, Annapolis, MD 21401
PROPERTY SIZE:
 7.19 acres

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:
 The property is located in Anne Arundel County, Maryland, approximately 10 miles west of Baltimore. It consists of 7.19 acres of land, primarily forested, with some cleared areas used for agriculture and residential development.

FOREST CLEARING REQUEST:
 The property owner has requested the clearing of approximately 1.5 acres of forested land in the northern portion of the property, specifically along the northern boundary of the property.

REASONS FOR CLEARING:
 The property owner has provided the following reasons for requesting the clearing of the forested land:

- The property owner plans to construct a new residence on the cleared land. The proposed residence will be a single-story, two-bedroom home with a garage.
- The property owner plans to construct a new driveway on the cleared land, which will provide access to the proposed residence.
- The property owner plans to construct a new fence on the cleared land, which will mark the property line.
- The property owner plans to construct a new utility pole on the cleared land, which will provide power to the proposed residence.
- The property owner plans to construct a new septic system on the cleared land, which will provide waste disposal for the proposed residence.

CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES:
 The property owner has indicated that construction activities will begin in the fall of 2014 and will continue through the winter months.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN:
 The property owner has expressed concern about the impact of the proposed clearing on the local environment, specifically regarding the potential impact on soil quality and water runoff.

PROPOSED MITIGATIONS:
 The property owner has proposed the following mitigation measures to address environmental concerns:

- Planting of native trees and shrubs along the cleared areas to help stabilize the soil and reduce erosion.
- Establishment of a buffer zone between the cleared areas and the existing forested areas to prevent further degradation of the forest.
- Implementation of best management practices for construction activities to minimize environmental impact.
- Monitoring of the cleared areas for signs of environmental degradation and taking corrective action if necessary.

CONCLUSION:
 The property owner has provided a clear justification for the proposed clearing of forested land, and the property owner has taken steps to mitigate potential environmental impacts.

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

ATTACHMENT:
 Attachment A contains a map showing the location of the proposed clearing activities.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

ATTACHMENT:
 Attachment A contains a map showing the location of the proposed clearing activities.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]

APPENDIX:
 Appendix A contains a detailed description of the proposed clearing activities and mitigation measures.

NOTES:
 Notes A and B are attached to this document.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
 I acknowledge that I have read and understood the information contained in this document.

SIGNATURE:
 [Signature]

DATE:
 [Date]









